

*Ellis R. Mottur*

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT  
AND ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING

January 1971

FACILITY FORM 602

" N71-75640  
(ACCESSION NUMBER) (THRU)  
23 NONE  
(PAGES) (CODE)  
CR-123122  
(NASA CR OR TMX OR AD NUMBER) (CATEGORY)



Program of Policy Studies in Science and Technology  
The George Washington University  
Washington, D.C.

Occasional Paper No. 9

The views expressed in this paper are those of the author. They do not necessarily reflect the views of other members of the Program of Policy Studies in Science and Technology or of the Program's sponsors.

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING

by

Ellis R. Mottur

Director

Technology Assessment Implementation Project  
The George Washington University

Presented to The Eighth Annual Meeting of the  
Society of Engineering Science, Inc.  
Washington, D. C.  
November 11, 1970

The research on which this paper is based was performed under  
NSF Grant GI-41

Program of Policy Studies in Science and Technology  
The George Washington University  
Washington, D. C.  
(established under NASA Research Grant NGL 09-010-030)

Occasional Paper No. 9

# TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING

by Ellis R. Mottur

## Introduction

The theme of my talk is that environmental engineering has a crucial role to play in the process of technology assessment; and that by effectively fulfilling that role, environmental engineering can make a significant contribution to the current conversion of the nation's technical talent and resources from defense oriented programs to projects directed at society's besetting problems in areas such as the environment, transportation, housing, etc. In order to understand the role of environmental engineering and its potential for contributing to the resolution of the nation's social problems, we must first delineate the nature and significance of technology assessment; second, examine the relationship of environmental engineering to technology assessment; and third, consider environmental engineering and technology assessment within the wider context of conversion of the nation's technical talent and resources from defense to civilian oriented programs.

## Technology Assessment

Technology assessment is the process whereby society attempts to appraise existing and emerging technologies or technological systems

in terms of their impact on the environment, the economy, and the society at large. As pointed out by the distinguished historian of technology, Melvin Kranzberg, "Technology assessment as a limited art is nothing new. Simple assessment...goes back to pre-history."<sup>1</sup> But in recent years technology assessment has assumed increasing importance and will probably continue to grow in significance to society in the years ahead. Problems such as the pollution of the air through automobile exhaust, the pollution of the nation's rivers and streams through industrial waste discharge, and the pervasive, insidious spread of persistent pesticides all over the globe have served to focus public attention on the need to assess existing and emerging technologies in terms of their overall impact.

The concept of technology assessment has achieved its public prominence largely through the unremitting efforts of Congressman Emilio Q. Daddario, Chairman of the Subcommittee on Science, Research, and Development, House Committee on Science and Astronautics. Congressman Daddario has held many hearings on technology assessment and has commissioned extensive studies on the subject by the National Academy of Sciences, the National Academy of Engineering, and others. In testimony before the Daddario Subcommittee, Dr. Lee A. DuBridge, the President's former Science Advisor, stated: "Increasing concern has developed over the deterioration of certain aspects of our quality of life. This deterioration has arisen from a number of sources. In some instances, life has been degraded or endangered as a result of unforeseen,

deleterious side effects of progressive innovation...In other cases, abusive practices...have impaired the quality of life. In still other instances, social trends have caused environmental quality problems. A strong desire has emerged to avoid, eliminate or minimize these undesirable effects...Clearly, we have established the need and the desire for technology assessments."<sup>2</sup>

The key problems which must be resolved through technology assessment have been stated by the National Academy of Sciences Panel on Technology Assessment as follows: "How can we in the United States best begin the awesomely difficult task of altering present evaluative and decision-making processes so that private and public choices bearing on the ways in which technologies develop and fit into society will reflect a greater sensitivity to the total systems effects of such choices on the human environment? How can we best increase the likelihood that such decisions (domestically and, in the end, globally) will be informed by more complete understanding of their secondary and tertiary consequences, and will be made on the basis of criteria that take such consequences into account in a timelier and more systematic way? And how can we do these things without denying ourselves the benefits that continuing technological progress has to offer, especially<sup>3</sup> to the less-favored portions of the human population?"

Those interested in reviewing technology assessments which have been performed in the past should examine the report prepared by the Science Policy Research Division of the Library of Congress for submission to the House Committee on Science and Astronautics. The

report was entitled Technical Information for Congress and was submitted April 25, 1969.<sup>4</sup> Another useful compilation of past examples of technology assessments is contained in Appendix C to the Technology Assessment Hearings before the Daddario Subcommittee in November and December 1969. This appendix was prepared by Vary T. Coates of the Program of Policy Studies in Science and Technology of George Washington University.<sup>5</sup>

The members of the Society of Engineering Science may be especially interested in the relevant work of the National Academy of Engineering's Committee on Public Engineering Policy. This Committee submitted a report entitled A Study of Technology Assessment to the House Committee on Science and Astronautics in July 1969.<sup>6</sup> This report presents the results of an experimental effort by the National Academy of Engineering to undertake pilot technology assessments in three areas, and to draw some methodological lessons from these experiments. The three areas involved were the Technology of Teaching Aids, Subsonic Aircraft Noise, and Multiphasic Health Screening.

Another important paper which should be considered by anyone interested in acquiring an understanding of the technology assessment process was presented as testimony before the Daddario Subcommittee by Dr. Louis H. Mayo, Vice President for Policy Studies at George Washington University.<sup>7</sup> In this statement Dr. Mayo documented the need for a total systems approach to technology assessment and delineated the institutional framework and complex set of interrelationships operating among the social, economic, political, legal, and technical

factors involved in the assessment process.

From these and other technology assessment activities over the past few years, a rough picture of the technology assessment process has emerged. The extremely complex process of technology assessment involves the identification, determination, and evaluation of the effects of various technologies on the physical, socio-economic, and cultural environments; and on the organizations and population groups functioning within those environments. The process is further complicated because the technologies under consideration are: (1) usually intricately interrelated; (2) often must be viewed as alternatives to one another; (3) frequently must be assessed without time or resources to amass definitive data; (4) at other times must be studied through the inevitable distortions of forecasting techniques; and (5) almost invariably generate secondary, tertiary, and higher order effects which are difficult to discern or anticipate, yet are frequently of considerable significance. Moreover, even to the extent these obstacles can be overcome, evaluating the social, political, economic, legal, and cultural effects of technologies is a primitive process still in its infancy of development.

#### Definition of Technology Assessment Process

In order to convey the nature of the technology assessment process in a little more detail, I should like to present a brief, preliminary model which attempts to define the technology assessment process.

(The model was developed collaboratively by me and my colleague, Raphael Kasper, in the George Washington University's Program of Policy Studies in Science and Technology.)



The National Academy of Engineering report, A Study of Technology Assessment,<sup>8</sup> identifies two types of assessment: those which proceed from a particular technology such as lasers, and those which take a general problem area as their starting point, such as air pollution, or the transportation problems of a particular metropolitan region, etc.

The first version of the model presented below defines the assessment process when it starts from a focus on a particular technology. The second version of the model presented below defines the assessment process when it proceeds from a particular problem or problem area. Although these models are presented in symbolic form, this is not intended to suggest that all -- or even most -- of the steps in the models can be performed quantitatively. Indeed, some of the steps are inherently subjective and qualitative; however, I do not view this as a defect of the model, but rather as a reflection of the underlying reality involved in the process of technology assessment.

(Purporting only to be a preliminary definition of technology assessment, the model includes several simplifications, the most important one involving its treatment of the complex time relationships among the consequences of technology. The model assumes that all first order effects of technology precede all second order effects, which in turn precede all third order effects, and so on. This is not necessarily true, of course; since some effects occur only after some delay while others are almost instantaneous. As the model is further elaborated it is expected that this simplification will be rectified.)

The model is constructed around three major factors. The first is the technology or technological application under consideration (designated by T). This factor, for example, might be the laser, or the automobile, or nuclear reactors, or computers. The second factor includes those operational and physical systems which are affected by the technology. These are called "fields of impact" and are designated by the symbol F. Fields of Impact range from parts of the physical environment, such as the air or water (or particular bodies of air or water); to geographical regions such as a state, city or lake; to operational systems such as the mail or freight distribution system; to other technologies which may be affected by the application of a given technology. The third key factor in the model encompasses those population groups or participants which might be affected through application of the technology. This factor is designated by P. Examples of P might include such groups as students, city dwellers, or even oysters. The choice of particular population groups and fields of impacts to be studied will, of course, depend upon the particular assessment being performed.

#### First Version of Model

Let us consider first a technology assessment which starts from a particular technology or technological system. For such an assessment one can delineate the following steps:

1. Identify the particular technology (or technological system) under consideration ( $T_n$ ). Carefully delineate the functions or objectives which that technology is meant to serve.

2. Identify "direct fields of impact." A direct field of impact is one which is affected directly by the technology under consideration. In terms of the factors defined above, a direct field of impact is defined as a field  $F_m$  such that when  $T_n$  (the technology under consideration) acts on  $F_{om}$  (the initial state of field  $F_m$ ) then  $F_{nm} \neq F_{om}$  where  $F_{nm}$  (the final state of field  $F_m$ ) is given by  $T_n(F_{om}) = F_{nm}$ . (That is, the technology acts upon the initial field of impact to yield some changed field of impact.) In those cases in which  $F_{nm} = F_{om}$ , then the technology has no direct effect upon the field  $F_m$ .

For example, if the technology under consideration is the automobile and the field of impact being examined is the air, then  $F_{om}$  would be the state of the air before the impact of the automobile (that is,  $F_{om}$  is clean air); and  $F_{nm}$  is the state of the air after the impact of the automobile (that is,  $F_{nm}$  is air with an increased concentration of lead, carbon monoxide, etc.).

3. Determine the changed field of impact or the change in the field of impact due to the first order effect of the technology which is:  $[\Delta F_m]_n = F_{nm} - F_{om}$ . In the example mentioned above  $[\Delta F_m]_n$  would characterize the increase in contaminants due to the operation of automobiles.

4. Identify the "second-order fields of impacts" by noting the effect of the first order changes upon other fields of impact. That is, a second order field of impact is defined as a field  $F_p$  such that when it is acted upon by the changes in other fields of impact  $F_{np} \neq [F_{np}]^2$  where  $[F_{np}]^2$  (the final state of field  $F_p$  after the second order impact) is given by  $\sum_m [\Delta F_m]_n (F_{np}) = [F_{np}]^2$  (that is, first order effects in all fields act upon the second order field of impact to yield some changed second order field.)
5. Determine the  $[F_{np}]^2$ 's and/or  $[\Delta F_p]_n^2$  where  $[\Delta F_p]_n^2 = [F_{np}]^2 - F_{np}$ .
6. Identify and determine higher order effects. For example third order effects may be defined by  $\sum_p [\Delta F_p]_n^2 ([F_{np}]^2) = [F_{np}]^3$ . The process defined in steps 2, 3, 4 and 5 may be continued to as many orders as is deemed both desirable and practicable.
7. Identify those population groups which are affected by the changes in the fields of impact. A population group with certain characteristics  $P_w$  is affected if the result (or impact)  $P_{nw}$  is non-zero, where the result is defined by  $\sum_{ij} [\Delta F_i]_n^j (P_w) = P_{nw}$ . (This may be read as: the effects of all orders in all fields acting upon a population group with certain characteristics  $P_w$ , yields a population with certain characteristics  $P_{nw}$ .) If  $P_{nw} = P_w$ , the population has been unaffected.

8. Determine the changed characteristics of the various populations  $P_{nw}$ 's, which ensue through the interaction of all the fields with the populations.
9. Identify alternative technologies, or systems of technology,  $(T_a, T_b, \dots, T_k)$  which serve the same functions or meet the same objectives as the original technology under consideration,  $T_n$ .
10. For each alternative technology, perform the analysis called for in steps 2 through 8.
11. Evaluate the results of each of the technologies under consideration: i.e., evaluate the changed characteristics of the various populations ( $P_{nw}$ 's) which are caused, albeit indirectly, by the various technologies. This evaluation procedure is, of course, the most crucial component of the entire assessment process. The significance of the changed characteristics must be evaluated in terms of various goals, values and priorities. These would presumably differ for the various population groups; and in any event are highly subjective, qualitative factors. The preliminary definition of the overall assessment process which has been outlined here does not attempt to delve into this highly important aspect of the assessment process.
12. Compare the evaluated results for the alternative technologies under consideration.

This completes this brief preliminary outline of a definition of the technology assessment process, when proceeding from a particular technology or system of technologies.

### Second Version of Model

Listed below is a brief outline of the sequence of steps that would have to be followed to carry out a problem-oriented technology assessment:

1. Identify the change in a field of impact ( $\Delta F_m$ ), which is of interest (e.g., pollution in the air).
2. Determine the  $\Delta F_m$  (e.g., measure the pollution).
3. Identify population groups affected by  $\Delta F_m$  (e.g., city dwellers)  $\sum_m (\Delta F_m) P_w = P_w'$ ;  $P_w' \neq P_w$ ;  $\Delta P_w = P_w' - P_w$ .
4. Determine  $\Delta P_w$ , the changed characteristics of the population group due to the change in the field of impact (e.g., lung disease).
5. Evaluate  $\Delta P_w$ , the changed characteristics of the population group due to the change in the field of impact (e.g., put a value on the decline in health due to this factor).
6. Identify the  $T_n$ 's which may contribute to each  $\Delta F_m$  (e.g., automobiles, factory smoke stacks, etc.).
7. Identify the functions served by each  $T_n$ .
8. Identify alternative T's which can serve the same function, presumably without the same detriments.
9. Identify other T's which, when used in conjunction with  $T_n$ , can eliminate or significantly reduce the detriments due to  $T_n$ .

10. For each T, identified above, perform the full technology assessment outlined in the first model presented above.
11. Compare and evaluate the various alternative technologies, and combinations of technologies, for fulfilling the desired functions.

### Technology Assessment and Environmental Engineering

Following this brief exposition of the nature of the technology assessment process and its significance to society, we can direct our attention at the relationship between technology assessment and environmental engineering. Environmental engineering impinges on the technology assessment process at a number of critical points, involving both the performance of technology assessments and the implementation of the results of such assessments. The potential contributions which environmental engineering can and must make to technology assessment fall in the following areas:

(1) Identification and delineation of alternative technological systems which can achieve similar objectives. This step is, of course, crucial to the assessment process, for until the technology or technological system can be viewed as one of several alternatives for accomplishing certain desired purposes, it is not possible to construct a scheme of evaluation for the technology or technological system. Thus, an electric car can be viewed as an alternative to the internal combustion engine car; similarly, mass rapid transit can be seen as an alternative to individual automobiles, and air and

interurban rail transit systems can be seen as further alternatives for certain purposes. Viewing the problem in a somewhat broader perspective, improved methods of communication through computerized, cable TV systems, for example, can be viewed as alternatives to the use of extensive transportation systems altogether. Environmental engineering, through its wide perspective and approach to problems, is particularly well suited to assist in the identification and delineation of such alternative technological systems.

(2) Monitoring and maintaining surveillance of environmental effects due to specific technological systems. This area is equally critical to the assessment process. Measuring the content and extent of air or water pollution, is essential to performing adequate technology assessments of pollution abatement devices, for example. In addition, such monitoring and surveillance is essential to maintaining effective control over the implementation of the results of technology assessments. Merely arriving at a technology assessment that a particular technology should be modified in certain ways or replaced with particular alternative technologies is not sufficient to assure the desired benefits to society. The ways in which technology assessment results are implemented is of the utmost importance. Only through monitoring and surveillance of environmental effects can adequate control be maintained over the implementation of technology assessment results. Since society's focus on environmental problems



is a relatively recent phenomenon, there is probably a great unfilled need for imaginative technological innovation in the development and engineering of environmental monitoring and control devices.

(3) Expanding the base of technical knowledge regarding the interface of technology with environmental processes. It is of primary importance that the base of technical knowledge in this area be expanded and become a greater part of the formal educational background with which engineers are equipped. The diversity and difficulty of coping with our environmental problems pose an enormous challenge, as has been evidenced in great detail throughout the various sessions of this conference. Every contribution which expands the general base of technical knowledge regarding the interface of technology and environmental processes helps society meet this challenge. This 8th Annual Meeting of the Society of Engineering Science is, of course, an important step forward in expanding and disseminating this base of knowledge.

(4) Providing technical adaptations and innovations which can assist in resolving social/environmental problems. Unfortunately much of the discussion on technology assessment has focused on the negative aspects of technology, and emphasized the adverse consequences of technological developments. Technology is, of course, merely a tool which mankind can use for good or bad depending on the way in which it is employed. Technology assessment should be viewed in a positive

light as an aid to the fostering of technological adaptations and innovations which will serve society's needs more effectively. Technology, in and of itself, is neither the cause of society's problems, nor the means for resolving those problems. Nevertheless, technology possesses an enormous potential for helping in the resolution of those problems. Through technology assessment we can inhibit those technological developments whose adverse consequences outweigh the benefits they bestow on society; and we can foster the development of those innovations whose beneficial results far outweigh any detrimental effects they may entail. At the same time, when there are detrimental effects associated with otherwise beneficial innovations, we can anticipate the adverse consequences and take countermeasures to neutralize them while we are still developing the new technology. It is clear that environmental engineering has an essential role to play in the assessment of technological innovations: to preclude or counteract their deleterious impacts on the environment, and foster their beneficial results for the environment, the economy and society at large.

#### Environmental Engineering and National Conversion of Technical Talent

As a final point, I should like to note that the recent emphasis on technology assessment has an important potential relationship to the increasing pressure toward conversion of technical manpower and resources from defense to civilian, socially-oriented programs. Most engineers

are cognizant, if not personally and painfully aware, of the substantial cutbacks which have occurred in defense and space spending for research, development, and engineering. These cutbacks have by no means reached their peak as yet. They are likely to continue and increase over the foreseeable future. There are a variety of economic, social and political reasons for this phenomenon which we do not have time to explore in this discussion. But the fact remains that conversion from defense to civilian, socially-oriented research, development, and engineering is very much of a pressing issue. For example, major bills have been introduced in the Senate by Senator Kennedy and Senator McGovern to facilitate the conversion process. After Senator Kennedy introduced his bill in the Senate, more than 50 members of the House of Representatives joined as cosponsors of a companion measure. Senator Kennedy's bill is specifically directed at the problems of converting research, development, and engineering resources and manpower. His measure provides for a total of \$450 million, primarily to help in the retraining of scientists and engineers so that they can find useful, remunerative positions in the civilian side of our society, positions which will enable them to render a constructive contribution to the resolution of our besetting social problems. Since many of these problems to which science and engineering can make a contribution involve environmental issues, it is clear that environmental engineering has an extremely important role to play in this conversion of national talent.

For the past twenty-five years a most significant share of the nation's scientific and technical resources has been invested in the defense, space, and atomic energy programs. Within the last few years, particularly as problems of the environment have become more pressing and obvious to the general public, a national demand has started emerging, which calls for the redirection of national priorities, the reallocation of national resources, and the revitalization of national talent to be directed toward resolving the real problems which are confronting our society. There are innumerable challenges to be met and tasks to be fulfilled if our environment is to achieve and maintain the level of quality we desire, and if our citizens are to be able to live out the kinds of lives they deserve.

The development of national policies and programs to promote the effective application of technical talent to these problems remains the responsibility of the President and the Congress, with the support of leaders of the technical community throughout the country. But it is the responsibility of each professional engineer, especially those involved in environmental engineering, to exert individual leadership in helping convert the nation's resources and talent to face the real problems with which we are beset. As more engineers turn their time, their thoughts, and their talents to these kinds of issues and problems, they will undoubtedly identify many projects which can be constructively pursued and develop many innovations which can render

real contributions to the resolution of such problems. So environmental engineering clearly has a key role to play in achieving the impending conversion of national talent and resources to civilian, socially-oriented problems and projects. Each engineer engaged in environmental engineering has the individual responsibility and faces the personal challenge to direct his own thoughts and energies toward useful projects and results which can facilitate this conversion.

## REFERENCES

1. Kranzberg, Melvin, "Historical Aspects of Technology Assessment." Program of Policy Studies in Science and Technology, Occasional Paper No. 4, Washington: The George Washington University, August 1969, p. 1.
2. U. S. Congress, House, Committee on Science and Astronautics, Subcommittee on Science, Research, and Development, Technology Assessment. 91st. Congress., 1st sess. Washington, 1969, pp. 219-220.
3. National Academy of Sciences, Technology: Processes of Assessment and Choice, Report to the Committee on Science and Astronautics, U. S. House of Representatives, Washington, July 1969, pp. 13-14.
4. U. S. Congress, House, Committee on Science and Astronautics, Subcommittee on Science, Research, and Development, Technical Information for Congress. 91st Cong., 1st sess. Washington, 1969.
5. Coates, Vary Taylor, "Examples of Technology Assessments for the Federal Government," in U. S. Congress, House, Technology Assessment, Appendix C, pp. 283-300.
6. National Academy of Engineering, Committee on Public Engineering Policy, A Study of Technology Assessment, Report to the Committee on Science and Astronautics, U. S. House of Representatives, Washington, July 1969.
7. Mayo, Louis H., "Some Legal, Jurisdictional, and Operational Implications of a Congressional Technology Assessment Component," in U. S. Congress, House, Technology Assessment, pp. 83-117.
8. National Academy of Engineering, A Study of Technology Assessment.



